

ATTACHMENT C (Evaluation Guidance for Department/District Fiscal Year 20xx Grant Agreement Performance Deliverables)

Grant Agreement Performance Deliverable	Fully Satisfied “A”	Partially Fulfilled “B”*	Did Not Fulfill “C”*
1. Did the District submit secondary considerations by June 30, or by a later date determined by DCR, and receive DCR approval prior to the District approving cost share applications?	Yes	Score of Partially Fulfilled N/A	No
2. Did the District follow its primary and secondary considerations, and/or act consistently with other DCR policies, in ranking every cost share application?	Yes	Score of Partially Fulfilled N/A	No
3. What percentage of the District’s VACS (cost-share) allocation for this fiscal year was obligated to a producer?	≥90%	< 90% >75%	≤75%
4. Did the District take appropriate action within 180 days to address all spot check issues once identified?	100%	<100% >75%	≤75%
5. Did the District maintain the Ag BMP tracking program within two weeks of payments being rendered and other records no less than quarterly throughout the program year? Does the District continue to maintain, complete case files, as evidenced by the prior year’s spot checks and case file check lists? (Note: checklist to be developed prior to FY15)	Yes	Score of Partially Fulfilled N/A	No

<p>6. Did the District meet quarterly and end of year reporting deadlines for submission of quarterly reports?</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p><100% >75%</p>	<p>≤75%</p>
<p>7. Quarterly reports were complete and accurate, and did not require more than minimal CDC involvement to balance and report on all cost-share data.</p>	<p>100%</p>	<p><100% >75%</p>	<p>≤75%</p>
<p>8. Did Districts act consistently with both primary and secondary considerations while also demonstrating the following priorities during the program year:</p> <p>for Districts within the Chesapeake Bay basin, Districts shall give priority to BMPs addressed within the Virginia Chesapeake Bay Watershed Implementation Plan and;</p> <p>for Districts in non-Chesapeake Bay basins, priority shall be given to BMPs in the highest priority agricultural TMDL watersheds (as ranked by the Department; high, medium, and low).</p>	<p>100%</p> <p>District ranked all cost share applications consistent with primary and secondary considerations and other applicable program priorities</p>	<p><100% >75%</p> <p>District ranked all cost share applications consistent with primary and secondary considerations and was generally consistent with other applicable program priorities</p>	<p>≤75%</p> <p>District ranked some cost share applications inconsistent with primary and/or secondary considerations and/or was generally inconsistent with other applicable program priorities</p>
<p>9. Did the District actively identify farm operations that are generating NPS pollution problems and focus recruitment on those owners and/or operators for participation in agricultural BMP incentive programs? From those agricultural producers whose farms are contributing NPS loads, did the District strive to engage as many new program participants as is possible (agricultural producers that have not received program funds within the past 5 years)? (Note: this recommendation will be implemented when the Ag BMP Tracking Program can calculate the percentage of new participant producers)</p>	<p>≥20% of cost share allocation to new signups</p>	<p><20% ≥10% of cost share allocation to new signups</p>	<p>< 10% of cost share allocation to new signups</p>

Does the District have documentation to explain any measures in their grant agreements there were not fully met? If so, please provide to CDC.